

Natural Immunity Ignored, Millions Uninformed

EUGENE, Ore.

By BC Smith

[A growing body of evidence](#) suggests that the natural immunity gained by recovering from the SARS-CoV-2 virus is not only longer lasting, but more robust and adaptable than the mRNA injection-induced immunity provided by Pfizer.

Natural immunity is conferred to individuals when they catch and recover from Covid-19, thereby gaining a broad spectrum of antibodies and t-cells better able to prevent reinfection from the original strain and its variants.

By comparison, Pfizer's mRNA injection uploads to the host's cells a synthetic set of genetic operating instructions for the human body to manufacture a specific, exogenous protein from the original strain of the coronavirus. In practice, this prompts a similar, but not identical, response from the body and its antibody production.

An experimental procedure

"Traditional vaccines use an attenuated, or weakened version, of a virus that the body reacts to," said Dr. Hill, a medical professional in the Oregon community who asked that his real name not be used due to fear of losing position within local government as a supporter of natural immunity.

"These aren't classic vaccines," he said. "They are, in fact, gene therapy. That's never been used before on a population outside of limited clinical trials."

According to the National Human Genome Research Institute, a sub-branch of the National Institute of Health focused specifically on genomic research, [gene therapy](#) is defined as "an experimental form of treatment that uses gene transfer of genetic material into the cell of a patient to cure a disease."

For some, the cutting-edge technology heralds a new age in biomedicine and rapidly developed therapeutics; for others, it represents the dawn of a dangerous precedent set forth by Big Pharma and the state.

The advanced and experimental nature of Pfizer BioNTech's mRNA procedure has led many to wonder what other options are available to protect themselves against infection and disease from SARS-Cov-2. Fortunately, evidence for natural immunity continues to surface from accredited researchers and institutions around the globe.

Natural immunity longer lasting

[One such study](#), performed by a group of researchers out of Leumit Health Services in Israel, concluded that those who received Pfizer's BNT162b2 injection initially incurred a very high antibody presence, but that it declined 40% month over month thereafter. As a result, "the proportion of vaccinated individuals whose antibody levels dropped below the threshold thought

to be protective is increasing substantially by the fifth month, while it is uncommon in convalescent [recovered] individuals.”

The primary metric for the study was a longitudinal analysis of antibody titers, or concentration of antibodies in the blood measured over time. Key figures from the study can be seen below:




Figure 1 Antibody blood saturation level post-vaccination. "40% per month decline."




Figure 2 Antibody blood saturation level post-infection. "4% per month decline."

With the mounting evidence to support natural immunity, the question begs itself—why has this evidence been largely ignored? If herd immunity has always been a goal, let alone individual

protection, why haven't those that have recovered from the coronavirus with natural antibodies been taken into consideration and given the option to *choose*?

"All the science I know, and in my opinion along with many, many others, is that those are some of the safest people on the planet: those who've had it, recovered from it, and produced the antibodies and t-cells for it and are no longer contagious," said Dr. Hill. "The CDC just recently acknowledged that roughly [147 million Americans](#) have recovered from the coronavirus."

Oregonians fight for medical freedom

The narrative becomes even more convoluted as thousands of frontline healthcare workers and first responders across the nation are fired for not complying with the vaccine mandate, many of whom likely already have natural immunity.

The dismissal, and in many cases outright censorship, of natural immunity has left millions uninformed on their choices as individuals. At the forefront of providing people with that information is Oregonians for Medical Freedom (OFMF), headed by Breanna Jarmer.

Fundamentally, OFMF believes in choice: "the choice to choose what goes into our bodies and what goes into our children's bodies," said Jarmer.

OFMF's fight for freedom has been ongoing since 2015, when the group was established to oppose a bill aimed at removing philosophical and religious exemptions from Oregon school children. As the mandates for the experimental procedure are being levied at people of all ages, and soon to be children, OFMF's mission has evolved.

"You have to be able to talk with people," Jarmer said, "And that's what we're training people to do at OFMF. To find middle ground with people and have a good conversation about it."

With the number of disenfranchised public and private employees mounting because of the mandates, legal action of some kind appears to be the final resolve. Lawsuits are not something OFMF is targeting as an organization. However, Free Oregon and Children's Health Defense (CHD) are.

Proactive organizations bringing awareness

Both organizations have been very active in the state of Oregon, with CHD having just held an awareness rally in Portland.

In an interview during the gathering, Stephanie Sur, secretary of CHD's Oregon chapter, said that she sees the "segregation of society and discrimination against the people who take their health into their own hands" as the biggest problem stemming from the mandate.

Sur earnestly asked, "What if the next mandate is something you don't want to do? What if the mandate goes on and you have to get a shot every three months? When does it end?"

As the push for a mandate of all ages intensifies, another, deeper question begins to manifest—has this ever been about health?

"There is a long list of natural, non-invasive, non-toxic, preventative, prophylactic supplements and nutrients to boost people's immune systems," said Dr. Hill. "But those don't make Big Pharma any money."

With hundreds of thousands of people out of work and millions continuing to suffer acutely and broadly from the coronavirus and its global implications, one could imagine that every conceivable option ought to be taken into consideration to prevent more division and death. Alas, that appears to not be the case. Instead, people are being given one narrative with no alternative—get vaccinated, or else.

In the heat of debate, it's all too easy to get lost in the largely illusory demarcations between people. "Both sides of the issue want a healthy community," said Sur. "I don't think each side sees that in the other, but I do think that's where most of this comes from."

And now, as of this writing, countless universities, businesses, and institutions around the nation are mandating second, and even third, doses of the injection with an intensely myopic view on vaccine-induced immunity, with little to no regard for those who have the tools, antibodies, and courage to take their health into their own hands.